

March Term 1813

Joseph Gist)
 vs) S. Pro. Settled
 John Davison)
 Samuel Lessley)
 ads) S. Pro. Settled
 Isaac Enloe)

Friday March 26.th 1813 Court adjourned until the fourth Monday in October next.

October Term 1813

MS
185

The State of S.o Carolina)
 York District)

At a Court of Common pleas begun and holden at York Court House in and for the District of York, on the fourth Monday being the twenty fifth day of October, in the year of our Lord, one thousand Eight hundred and thirteen, and in the thirty eighth year of the Sovereignty and Independence of the United States of America.

Present his Honor Charles J Colcock Esquire Judge.

The Court then Ordered the names of forty eight persons to be drawn to serve at the next Term, as Petit and Common plea Jurors and the following were accordingly drawn to wit

1 Henry Alexander	17 William Robertson L. M.	33 Richard Leathem
2 John Adams	18 Robert Smith B. C.	34 Thomas Neeley
3 Joseph Eakins	19 John Durham	35 William Hamilton
4 James B. Fullton	20 James Harris Esq.r	36 Robert Watson
5 John Baxter Sen.r	21 William Clark A. C.	37 David Hutchison
6 John Givens Jun.r	22 James Wallace C. F.	38 Rev.d John Rooker
7 Alexander Hill	23 James Ward	39 Daniel Peeler
8 Francis Nisbit	24 Robert Patrick (uncle)	40 Jesse Ponder
9 Robert Cooper	25 James Workman	41 William Dunlap
10 John Steele	26 Howell Moss	42 William Kerr
11 William Stephenson Sen.r	27 Archabald Stewart	43 Isaac Enloe
12 John Berry Sen.r	28 Asakel Enloe	44 Edward Moorhead
13 James Smith Sen.r	29 William Moore	45 Rev.d W.m Dickson
14 Purdy Leathem	30 Joseph Bradford	46 James Henry Jun.r B. S.
15 Howell Ward	31 John Falls	47 Joseph Wood
16 Samuel Slate	32 George Riddle	48 William Davison.

The Court then Ordered a Writ of Venire facias to be issued to the Sheriff commanding him to summon the above named forty eight persons to serve at the next Term as Petit & Common plea Jurors.

The Court then Ordered the Petit and common plea Jurors to be called who were summoned to serve at this Term, they were then Called, and the following answered to their names to wit.

1 James B. Garrison	12 William Stewart	33 Francis Henry
2 John Miller Jun.r	13 Aaron Wood	34 Andrew Floid
3 William Kennedy	14 Augusta Briant	25 Hugh Gordon
4 James Quin	15 Nicholas Whisenhunt	26 Jonathan Nickles
5 Hugh Harshaw	16 Josinah Garrison	27 Arthur Irwine
6 Joseph Carrell Jun.r	17 John Dunlap	28 Allen Dowdle
7 David Roddy	18 Robert Smith	29 Jacob Black
8 William McGill	19 James Wallace Jun.r	30 James Plaxco
9 James Meek Sen.r	20 John Mullins	31 Elnathan Davies
10 John Ezell	21 Alexander Love	32 Peter Morgan
11 Peter Cherry	22 Arthur Robinson	33 David Byers

And the following persons not answering to their names were noted as defaulters to wit Andrew Neeley, Robert Lusk, James Craigg, Joseph Biggers, John Murphey, George Sugg, George Plaxco James McCullough

And the following were excused to wit John Ezell, Peter Cherry, David Roddy.

Out of the number who appeared upon being called, the Court ordered two Juries to be drawn, and the following were drawn to serve on the Jury Number (1) One to wit

MS
186

1 David Byers foreman	5 Robert Smith	9 Jacob Black
2 James Quin	6 Hugh Harshaw	10 Hugh Gordon
3 William Kennedy	7 Peter Morgan	11 Jonathan Nickles
4 James B. Garrison	8 Augusta Briant	12 James Plaxco

And the following were drawn to serve on the Jury Number two (2) to wit

1 Capt.n William McGill	5 Josinah Garrison	9 Nicholas Whisenhunt
2 Richard Quin	6 Elnathan Davies	10 John Dunlap
3 Joseph Carrell	7 Andrew Floid	11 Arthur Irwine
4 William Stewart	8 John Miller	12 James Wallace Jun.r

The Court then appointed David Byers foreman of the Jury number one (1) and Capt.n W.m McGill foreman of the Jury number two (2) and both Juries were then Sworn to try all Issues and Execute all Writs of Enquiry that should be brought before them during the present Term, according to the late Act of Assembly in such case made and provided.

John McKinley)
 vs) Sum pro. on note.

George Galbreath) In this case the Court gave the following Decree to wit
 Let the plaintiff take Judgment for thirty one dollars and thirteen Cents with interest from 11.th May 1811 & Costs by default.

John McCaw & C.o)
 vs) Sum. pro. on note

Robert Hemphill) In this case the Defendant made the following Confession to wit.